THE CONNECTION VERDICT · BY ELLEN HALE

The Connection Verdict

prepared for Karen

ELLEN HALE · AUGUST 2026 · CASE NO. 0402

One reading. One answer. Reasoning shown.



ELLEN HALE

THE WOMAN WHO READ YOUR CASE

About Ellen Hale

I spent twenty years as a couples and family counselor, and I have read charts for over thirty. For most of my working life those two things stayed in separate rooms — which is how everyone I trained under would have wanted it.

What ended that was watching the same woman receive two verdicts. She would leave a therapist's office with a clean behavioural account that made her feel like a case study, and then sit with a reader who honoured everything the therapist had dismissed and handed her a story with no clock in it. Both had half her file. Neither would look at the other half.

So I stopped choosing. I built the **Full-Evidence Method** to read both languages — the chart and the pattern — and to conclude only where the two agree. It is the whole of what I do now: I examine connections, case by case, and I reach an answer.

I don't sell dates. I don't sell hope. I read cases.

20 years	30+ years	One method
couples & family counseling	reading charts	both languages, one Agreement

A word about how yours was produced.

Every position in your reading was calculated from ephemerides before a word of your story was read — the same arithmetic an astronomer would use — so the sky could not be bent toward a conclusion. Every chart mark you will read carries what it indicates *and* what it does not prove. Where your data ran out, this document says so plainly rather than guessing. That is the standard I hold, and you are entitled to hold me to it.

THIS DOCUMENT

What you will find here

PREPARED FOR	CASE NUMBER
Karen	0402
READ AND WRITTEN	METHOD
August 2026	The Full-Evidence Method

01 The Case

02 The First Language — The Chart

03 The Second Language — The Pattern

04 The Agreement · your verdict

05 How I Got There

06 Your Questions

07 Why He Runs

08 How You Love

09 Where Your Case Stands

10 The 11pm Rule

Read it once through before you decide what you think of it. Then read it again tomorrow — real clarity settles slowly, the way real questions built slowly.

Dear Karen,

Before anything else: what happened at that table in March 2019 was real. You wrote it more precisely than most people manage in a lifetime of trying — *"oh, there you are. Not romantic. Recognition. Like finding something I had put down somewhere."* You separated recognition from romance yourself, unprompted, at the moment it happened. That is not the work of a woman fooling herself. That is a reliable instrument reporting accurately.

So let me be plain about the thing you are braced for. I am not going to tell you that you invented six years. Nobody with your accuracy reorganizes their inner life for 76 months around nothing. Something occurred, and the chart corroborates that it occurred. You do not have to defend that to me.

You already know why nothing you have read has closed this. Every framework you were handed listened to half of your evidence. The clinical ones would take the dates — the nine weeks in 2019, the five months in 2022, the July 21st — and use them to explain your knowing away as attachment machinery. The spiritual ones would take the recognition, the 11:11, the dreams in May, and use them to explain the dates away as a runner's necessary distance. Each side asked you to discredit one of your own witnesses. You declined. That is not indecision, Karen. That is an honest witness refusing two biased judges.

This reading hears both. Your intuition is evidence. So is his pattern. I read both, and I read them separately before I let them speak to each other, so that neither one gets to overrule the other by volume.

I want to tell you now what this ends with, so you are not reading twelve pages in suspense. It ends with a verdict, stated in one sentence. It ends with the reasoning that produced it, cited mark by mark, so you can audit me. And it ends with one rule for eleven o'clock at night, when the phone is in your hand and the argument in your head is very good.

Whatever the verdict, it comes as permission — not as a sentence.

Let's look at your case.

— Ellen

The Case

Here is your case as evidence. Your dates, your counts, your words.

The meeting. March 2019. A work table. Talking until the hotel bar closed and then in the parking lot until 3am. Within hours he told you things he said he had never told his wife. Your own account of the recognition is the cleanest sentence in the file, and I am going to keep quoting it, because it is load-bearing: "*Like finding something I had put down somewhere.*"

The first four months. Daily contact. Two three-hour drives. Real investment, made by him, front-loaded into 2019.

The first silence. "*Then in August 2019 he stopped answering. Nine weeks. No fight, no explanation.*"

The first return. October 2019. "*He acted like we had spoken the day before and I let him, because I was so relieved I could not think straight.*" And the sentence you have carried since: "*I don't know what this is but I know I'm not letting it go.*"

The repetitions. "*That has now happened four or five times. The longest silence was five months in 2022.*" And the observation that does more work than any other line you sent me: "*Each time he comes back it is intense and each time he is a little less specific about what he wants.*"

This June. Six weeks. "*Better than it had ever been.*" Future talk for the first time in years. Then: "*on July 21st he went quiet and I have heard nothing since.*"

The standing condition. "*He was separated then and still is, which I have learned to say carefully because it has been six years and nothing has been filed.*" You already hear what

"learned to say carefully" is telling you. I heard it too.

What you have already tried. "*I did 100 days of no contact in 2021 and it did not change anything except that I was lonelier.*" Therapy, done. This is not a woman at the beginning of anything, and I will not write to you as though it were.

What it has cost. "*My sister will not discuss him with me anymore.*" Six years, ages 43 to 49. And the accounting you did yourself, out loud, before you ever wrote to me: "*I am 49 and I have spent six years of my life on a man who has never once told me what I am to him.*"

The dreams in May. Two in one week. He was standing in a doorway and would not come in.

Now the two facts that are true at the same time, which is the whole reason your case never resolved.

The connection is real. There is a genuine, tight, well-aspected encounter in these charts, and I will show you the marks that hold it.

The pattern is also real. Four or five cycles. 76 months. No definition, ever, and the specificity declining while the intensity holds.

Both. Not one instead of the other. Everyone who has spoken to you about this so far has needed you to pick. I don't.

The First Language — The Chart

Before a single claim, the honest inventory. Your positions are computed from ephemerides: Sun 20°52' Scorpio, Moon 29°12' Cancer, Mercury 24°15' Scorpio, Venus 28°25' Sagittarius, Mars 24°25' Scorpio, North Node 2°28' Scorpio. Your birth time is known but I have no coordinates, so you have no houses here, and nothing I say will rest on one. For David, born August 30, 1974, I have no birth time at all. I can affirm his Sun 6°44' Virgo, Mercury 18°44' Virgo, Venus 18°59' Leo, Mars 21°27' Virgo and his slow planets,

and I can read every cross-aspect between those and your chart. I cannot affirm his houses, his Ascendant, or his exact Moon: it reads 11°23' Aquarius but may be off by up to half a sign, so every Moon aspect below is marked indicative and weighted as such. And the August 30 birthday you share with your mother is a fact about calendars. I will not build anything on it.

Mark 1 — His Saturn on your Venus. Saturn conjunction Venus, orb 2.3°, strong. This is the heaviest personal-planet contact in the synastry, and it is the astrological body of six years. Saturn on Venus produces love that feels binding rather than merely pleasant: duration, gravity, obligation, time itself joining the relationship as a third party. It is also the precise sensation of loving someone who feels like an unfinished debt. What it does not prove: commitment. Saturn–Venus is not a marriage indicator. It is equally the aspect of love that stays permanently conditional, where the lesson is learning to stop waiting rather than the reward for having waited. It says nothing about what David will do.

Mark 2 — His Pluto trine your Moon. Orb 1.7°, strong; indicative only, because his Moon degree is unverified. This is the "I cannot put this down" contact. Pluto to the Moon reaches the part of you that predates language, which is why his returns land in your body before your judgment gets a vote, and why nine weeks of silence in 2019 did not weaken the pull. In trine it flows, and that is what makes it hard to resist. It does not feel like danger. It feels like depth. What it does not prove: that he feels the same depth, or that the bond is destined. Pluto–Moon measures the intensity of your attachment response. It is silent on his reciprocity.

Mark 3 — His Neptune to your Moon and Sun. Neptune sextile Moon, orb 1.5°, strong (Moon indicative); Neptune square Sun, orb 6.1°, weak. A fog layer over the emotional contact: wordless understanding, dreams that arrive feeling like messages, a bond experienced as spiritual rather than practical. The wide square to your Sun is the honest edge — identity blurred by idealisation, difficulty seeing the man separately from what he means to you. What it does not prove: that your perception is false. Neptune does not mean nothing happened. It means this connection is structurally prone to being felt more clearly than it is seen, and that vividness of feeling cannot be used as proof of fact.

Mark 4 — His Sun square your Venus; his Mars square your Venus. Sun square Venus, orb 1.9°, strong; Mars square Venus, 5.4°, weak; your Venus square his Mars, 7°, weak. Friction built into the attraction itself: wanting differently, valuing differently, charge running on tension rather than ease. This is chemistry that intensifies under obstruction, which is another way of saying it performs at its best when something is in the way. What it does not prove: incompatibility, or fault. Squares can be worked. But they do not by themselves produce warmth, and friction is common and cheap in synastry. No square is evidence of destiny.

Mark 5 — His Sun sextile your Mars; his Uranus sextile your Sun; his Node sextile your Sun. Sun sextile Mars, orb 0.6°, strong; Uranus sextile Sun, 1.6°, strong; North Node sextile Sun, 4.3°, moderate. The live-wire layer, and the reason "*oh, there you are*" arrived at a work table rather than in a romance. Uranus–Sun at 1.6° is the electric, unmistakable first contact — and unpredictability as a permanent feature. Sun sextile Mars at 0.6° is genuine ease of action together. The Node to your Sun is the closest thing in this file to a fated marker: an encounter with directional significance for your path. What it does not prove: outcome. A nodal contact says the meeting matters; it never says the meeting is meant to become a relationship. And Uranus–Sun explains the shock of recognition and the disappearances with the same aspect. One mark, both jobs. I also record an absence as evidence: there is no Sun–Moon contact of any kind between your charts. That is the classic recognition-and-home signature, and it is not here.

Mark 6 — Your own template. Sun conjunct Mars in Scorpio, orb 3.5°; Sun square Saturn, 4.1°; Moon 29° Cancer trine Mars 4.8° and trine Mercury 4.9°; Moon square North Node 3.3°; Venus sextile North Node 4.1°. Sun conjunct Mars in Scorpio at 24° is total investment — you have no partial setting. Sun square Saturn is love that must be earned through waiting and withholding, a template laid down decades before March 2019. Your Moon, trine both Mercury and Mars, means you feel and articulate with unusual accuracy, which is why the recognition felt clean. Moon square the Node is an emotional habit pulling against your own direction of growth. What it does not prove: that you invented anything, or that your instincts are faulty. It proves something narrower and more useful — that "unforgettable" and "six years" are cheaply produced in your chart. Intensity and duration are your baseline, not evidence about him.

Mark 7 — The signs, recorded as testimony. 11:11 and 3:33 seen constantly. Two dreams in one week in May, both with him standing in a doorway, not coming in. I take testimony seriously as testimony: it shows how completely your inner life committed to this bond, and it correlates with that Neptune–Moon contact at 1.5°. What it does not prove: any part of a verdict. Repeating numbers get noticed because you are watching. Your dream was not a message from him. It was your own clear sight, which is better.

What the first language concludes. This is a lesson chart, not a union chart. Saturn confers duration, never arrival. The Node confers meaning, never outcome. The magnetism is real and well-aspected; the home signature is absent, and what fills its place is friction and fog. Language One votes: a fated-feeling, karmically weighted, idealisation-prone encounter with a teaching structure. High intensity. No evidence of union.

The Second Language — The Pattern

This language does not know how anything felt. It reads only what was done, with dates.

Mark 1 — Intermittent reinforcement, documented. Met March 2019. Four months of daily contact and two three-hour drives. Then nine weeks of silence with "*no fight, no explanation.*" Return in October 2019. "*That has now happened four or five times. The longest silence was five months in 2022.*" June this year: six weeks, "*better than it had ever been,*" future talk for the first time in years. Then July 21st, and nothing since. Reward unpredictable in timing and highest in intensity straight after the longest deprivation. That is the schedule that produces the most durable attachment behaviour known in animals or in people, and yours has been running for 76 months. Note what carries: no cycle was ever preceded by conflict. The silences are not consequences of anything you did — which means nothing you do can prevent them.

Mark 2 — Six years, zero definition, declining specificity. "*I have spent six years of my life on a man who has never once told me what I am to him.*" Across four or five full

cycles, not one produced a definition, a status, or a stated intention toward you. And the line is not flat, it is negative: "*Each time he comes back it is intense and each time he is a little less specific about what he wants.*" Intensity preserved, specificity withdrawn. His most committal sentence is six years old, and even that one committed him only to not letting go — never to arriving.

Mark 3 — Unavailability as equilibrium, not transition. "*He was separated then and still is, which I have learned to say carefully because it has been six years and nothing has been filed.*" Six years of separated-and-not-filing is not a phase. It is an arrangement. And the opening move of the whole thing was intimacy offered by comparison to his wife, within hours of meeting — a currency that costs the spender nothing and binds the receiver immediately.

Mark 4 — Asymmetry of control. The daily calls and the two drives belong to the first four months of 2019 and have not recurred, by your account. Every silence begins with him and ends with him. In October 2019 he "*acted like we had spoken the day before*," and no return since has required an accounting either. He sets the timing of contact, the timing of absence, and the terms of re-entry, including the right to skip the conversation about where he went. That last item is not carelessness. It is the thing that preserves his ability to repeat.

Mark 5 — Cost, and interventions already run. "*I did 100 days of no contact in 2021 and it did not change anything except that I was lonelier.*" Read that precisely: 100 days changed nothing about **him**. That is data about David under conditions of your total absence, not a failure of yours. "*My sister will not discuss him with me anymore.*" The subject has moved out of your support network and into a room containing only you and him, which is the condition under which patterns like this last longest. Six years, ages 43 to 49.

Mark 6 — Recognition and reciprocity are two different claims. Yours is documented and I do not doubt it. His is not documented at all. In 76 months, the pattern contains no evidence that he ever acted on what happened at that table.

What the second language concludes. Watch-and-crave, not a foundation being built. Facts outrank inference, and these facts are dated.

The Agreement

The first language says: a real, path-relevant, karmically weighted encounter with a teaching structure — and no union signature anywhere in it.

The second language says: four or five cycles across 76 months, a man who has never once said what you are to him, and specificity falling while warmth holds.

Where they agree: this encounter was significant, and it was never structured to arrive. Saturn conjunct Venus at 2.3° and the Node at 4.3° on one side; "*nothing has been filed*" on the other. Neither language says union.

Where they diverge, and it is worth naming exactly: the chart supports the reality of the **meeting** — Uranus sextile Sun at 1.6°, Sun sextile Mars at 0.6°, the nodal contact. The pattern refutes the reality of the **relationship**. That is not a contradiction to resolve. It is a distinction to hold. Significance was established. Reciprocity never was.

> VERDICT: This is a karmic connection — a real, significant encounter with a teaching structure, being lived out as a watch-and-crave pattern, and the lesson is the ending of the waiting, not the reward for it.

What that is not: a ruling that 2019 was a story you told yourself. What it is not, either: a twin flame in delayed union. What it is: a genuine encounter whose significance has already been extracted, still running as a schedule of intermittent reward — and a verdict that requires you to discredit none of your own evidence.

How I Got There

1. **The heaviest contact you have with him is Saturn on Venus at 2.3°** — love that binds through duration, delay and withheld definition. It landed on a woman whose natal Sun squares Saturn at 4.1°, so the template of love-earned-by-waiting predated him by decades. That is why six years felt survivable instead of absurd. It is not a marriage indicator and never was. (*Chart Marks 1 and 6.*)

2. **The recognition-and-home signature is absent.** No Sun–Moon contact of any kind. In its place: friction at 1.9° and fog at 1.5°. A chart can produce overwhelming pull without producing home, and this one does exactly that. (*Chart Marks 3, 4, 5.*)

3. **The decisive fact is not the silences. It is the trend line inside them.** Intensity held, specificity withdrawn, across four or five cycles. A connection being built moves toward definition. This one has moved away from it for 76 months. (*Pattern Mark 2.*)

4. **No silence followed a fight** — "*no fight, no explanation.*" So nothing you did caused them, and nothing you do can stop them. The 100 days in 2021 is your controlled experiment, and it returned a clean result about him: his pattern is self-generated and stable. (*Pattern Marks 1 and 5.*)

5. **Six years separated with nothing filed is his chosen equilibrium**, and the first move of the relationship was intimacy by comparison to his wife, deployed at hour one. Add total asymmetry of control and never once being required to account for a disappearance, and you have structural unavailability plus a reward schedule. No chart mark authorises another round. (*Pattern Marks 3 and 4.*)

6. **Your instrument is sound.** Moon at 29° Cancer trine Mercury at 4.9° and Mars at 4.8°; you distinguished recognition from romance at the table itself. The May dreams carry no part of this verdict, but I record that your unconscious wrote the truest line in the file: "*he was standing in a doorway and would not come in.*" The recognition was real. So is the doorway. (Chart Marks 5, 6, 7; Pattern Mark 6.)

Your Questions

1. “Is this a twin flame connection or am I telling myself a story so I don’t have to face six wasted years?”

Neither. The third option is the true one, and your question left it out.

The chart: no Sun–Moon contact exists between you. The signature most associated with the twin recognition is simply not present. What is present is Saturn conjunct Venus at 2.3°, Pluto trine Moon at 1.7°, Uranus sextile Sun at 1.6°, and the Node to your Sun at 4.3°. That combination is a karmic signature — meaning without arrival. The pattern: 76 months, four or five cycles, zero definitions, specificity declining, structural unavailability unchanged. That is watch-and-crave. A karmic chart plus a watch-and-crave pattern is exactly where your case sits.

So: you were not telling yourself a story. The recognition happened and the chart corroborates it. You were drawing the wrong conclusion from a true event — that recognition obliged you to wait.

What no one can know: whether David experienced anything resembling what you experienced at that table. A synastry aspect measures potential between two charts, never what either person did with it. I have no birth time for him, so his Moon aspects stay indicative. Nothing in astrology reads intention.

In your control: which question you spend the next year on. You can stop asking whether the connection was real — it was — and start asking the only one that decides anything: in 76 months, what did he do.

The criterion: a twin or soul verdict requires reciprocity demonstrated in facts. Name one thing he did after 2019 that cost him something and moved toward you. Daily calls and two three-hour drives are the only entries on that list, and they are six years old. If the list has no entry after 2019, the verdict is karmic, whatever the pull says.

2. “Why does he always come back, and why is he always a little less each time?”

The pattern gives you the mechanism, cleanly. He comes back because you are the one place where he is fully received and never invoiced. October 2019 set the format — "*he acted like we had spoken the day before and I let him*" — and no return since has required an accounting. Re-entry is free.

He is less specific each time because specificity is the only thing that would cost him. A definition creates an obligation, and six years of "*nothing has been filed*" shows what he does with obligations he can avoid. So he keeps the intensity, which costs nothing and secures everything, and sheds the specificity, which costs everything and secures nothing he wants.

The chart agrees. Uranus sextile your Sun at 1.6° is the same aspect that produced the electric first contact and produces the vanishing — unpredictability as a permanent feature, not an anomaly. Saturn on your Venus at 2.3° is love kept conditional. Sun square Venus at 1.9° is attraction that intensifies under obstruction, which is another way of saying it performs best when something is in the way.

What no one can know: whether he is running from his marriage, from you, from himself, or from nothing he could name. His motive is unavailable to me, and I will not read houses or an Ascendant I do not have.

In your control: whether the next return is free. You cannot make him arrive. You can stop making the door open on its own.

The criterion: watch the specificity, not the warmth. Warmth is his cheapest currency and it has never declined. The measurable variable is whether a return produces a statement of what you are to him, with a date attached. Four or five cycles, zero. Grade the next one on that alone.

3. "If I let go, how do I do it without deciding that the recognition in 2019 was a lie?"

You do not have to decide that, and this method will not let you.

The chart holds real, tight marks for that meeting: the North Node sextile your Sun at 4.3°, the tradition's own marker of an encounter with directional significance for your path; Uranus sextile Sun at 1.6° for the unmistakable first contact; Sun sextile Mars at

0.6° for genuine ease of action together. Your Moon at 29° Cancer trines Mercury at 4.9° and Mars at 4.8°. You did not hallucinate at that table.

But nodal contacts confer meaning, never outcome, and Saturn confers duration, never arrival. Both things are true at once: the recognition was real, and it was never a promise. Letting go is not a retraction of 2019. It is the correct reading of it.

What no one can know: what the encounter was for. I can affirm that the Node marks it as significant. Nothing in astrology specifies purpose, and anyone who tells you what a soul contract said is inventing it.

In your control: which sentence you keep. "*I still cannot make myself believe that what happened at that table in 2019 was nothing.*" You do not have to. Keep it. What you can put down is the second, unspoken clause you have been carrying with it — *therefore he will come through the doorway.* That clause is yours, not the chart's, and it is the only part that has cost you six years.

The criterion: you will know the recognition has been kept and the waiting released when you can say the 2019 sentence out loud, including to your sister, without it being an argument for staying. If saying it makes you check your phone, the clause is still attached.

Why He Runs

He runs because leaving has never cost him and returning has never cost him. Not one silence was preceded by conflict — "*no fight, no explanation*" — so the exits are not reactions. They are habit under no pressure. What most visibly triggers a departure is the approach of definition: June's six weeks included future talk for the first time in years, and July 21st followed. The intimacy he opened with, things he said he had never told his wife, offered within hours, is a currency that costs nothing to spend and binds the receiver immediately. Six years of separated-but-nothing-filed shows the same economy applied to his marriage: an equilibrium that avoids resolution in both directions.

The chart is consistent. Uranus sextile your Sun at 1.6° is unpredictability as a structural feature. Saturn on your Venus at 2.3° is love that stays conditional. Sun square Venus at 1.9° is charge that runs on obstruction, which means proximity itself lowers the voltage for him.

None of this is exculpatory. A mechanism explains why a man behaves this way. It never makes the behaviour something done to him rather than by him. He has had 76 months and full information, and he has chosen the same thing every time.

How You Love

Sun conjunct Mars in Scorpio at 3.5° is total investment. You have no partial setting and never did. Sun square Saturn at 4.1° is the older template: love as something earned through waiting, withholding, endurance — laid down long before March 2019. That is why Saturn arriving on your Venus at 2.3° did not read as a warning. It read as familiar, almost as proof.

Moon at 29° Cancer, trine Mercury at 4.9° and Mars at 4.8°, means you feel and articulate with real accuracy. Your instruments are sound. It is why the recognition felt clean, and why your own dream produced the truest line in this file: "*he was standing in a doorway and would not come in.*" Moon square the North Node at 3.3° is the hinge — an emotional habit pulling directly against your own direction of growth. Venus sextile the Node at 4.1° says that direction is not away from love.

What this pattern found in you was not gullibility. It found stamina, accuracy, and a Saturnian conviction that love which does not have to be earned is not love. He never had to be more than intermittently present, because your chart supplies the constancy for two. Which is precisely why this verdict rests on what he did and not on how much you felt.

Where Your Case Stands

The Recognition · The Static · **The Crossroads ← you are here** · The Long Quiet · The Return to Yourself

The Static describes the six years. The Crossroads describes tonight. You are nine-plus weeks into a silence that began July 21st, and it followed the highest-amplitude reward of the whole six years — "*better than it had ever been*," future talk for the first time in years. You have already run both standard interventions, therapy and 100 days. And you are saying the account of your own life out loud: "*I am 49 and I have spent six years of my life on a man who has never once told me what I am to him.*" Women do not write that sentence from the middle of a fog. They write it from a decision point. The verdict does not make the decision for you. It removes the argument that you owe another round before you are allowed to make it.

The 11pm Rule

One rule, for the hour when the reasoning in your head is at its most persuasive.

> **If he has still not said, in words with a date attached, what you are to him — and nothing has been filed —**
>
> **then this is another round, not a new beginning, and the answer at 11pm is the same as the answer at noon. You do not open the door. Put the phone in another room and go to bed.**
>
> If he does come back, the only conversation that counts starts with where he went on July 21st and ends with what you are to him, said out loud, before anything else resumes. Warmth without that sentence is not a return — it is the same cycle in nicer clothes. And “he seemed different this time” is not the sentence.

Dear Karen,

Six years is not what you wasted. It is what it took to get a true perception all the way through a chart that was built to keep waiting — and you got it through. You are the one who wrote "*a man who has never once told me what I am to him.*" You are the one who wrote "*nothing has been filed.*" You dreamed the doorway. All of that arrived before you ever wrote to me. I did not bring you this verdict. I confirmed the one you had already reached and had not yet been given permission to trust.

You asked how to let go without calling 2019 a lie. Here is the whole answer. You keep the recognition and you release the waiting, and those are two separate objects, not one. The table happened. The Node contact is real at 4.3°. What ends is not the truth of that night; what ends is the clause you attached to it. You are not closing a chapter you have to be ashamed of. You are closing a case.

And I want to name the smaller loss inside the large one: your sister. Whatever brought that silence, the room you have been standing in is too small for a woman with your accuracy. Bring the subject back out into your own life. If a good therapist has been useful to you before, that door stays open too — not as a correction, as company.

The question about him closes here.

Take good care of your heart, dear one.

— Ellen

Your intuition is evidence.
So is his pattern.
I read both.

THE FULL-EVIDENCE METHOD

This reading is a reflective and spiritual document, not a substitute for professional counseling. If you are in distress, support is always a good idea — and always your decision. 18+.